

The Relationship Between Colorectal Cancer Survivors' Positive Psychology, Symptom Characteristics, and Prior Trauma During Acute Cancer Survivorship

Sameena F. Sheikh-Wu, PhD, BA, BSN, RN-BC, Debbie Anglade, PhD, RN, MSN, CPHQ, CCM, Karina A. Gattamorta, PhD, Canhua Xiao, PhD, RN, FAAN, and Charles A. Downs, PhD, ACNP-BC, FAAN

OBJECTIVES: To examine colorectal cancer survivors' positive psychology and symptom characteristics, and to assess for potential impact of prior trauma on these relationships during acute cancer survivorship.

SAMPLE & SETTING: A cross-sectional study of 117 colorectal cancer survivors was conducted at a National Cancer Institute–designated cancer center.

METHODS & VARIABLES: Participants completed a demographic questionnaire, and the Carver Benefit Finding Scale and Posttraumatic Growth Inventory assessed positive psychology. Descriptive statistics and multiple linear regression analyses were performed.

RESULTS: 49 symptoms were reported and varied based on prior trauma. Significance was found between positive psychology and symptom frequency ($p < 0.001$); symptoms reported almost daily and daily were inversely related to positive psychology.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: Nurses should prioritize symptoms; less frequent symptoms improve positive psychology. Early identification of positive changes may promote survivors' self-awareness and management skills to mitigate adverse symptoms.

KEYWORDS benefit finding; colorectal cancer; health-related outcomes; post-traumatic growth
ONF, 50(1), 115–127.

DOI 10.1188/23.ONF.115-127

Colorectal cancer (CRC), a cancer of the digestive tract, colon, or rectum, is the fourth most common cancer (about 1.9 million cases per year) and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths globally (American Cancer Society, 2021; National Cancer Institute, 2021; Siegel et al., 2019). Data show that CRC cases are increasing, particularly among individuals aged 20–50 years (American Cancer Society, 2020). However, advances in detection and treatment have prolonged survival, and survivors are living well beyond 20 years postdiagnosis. Mortality rates have subsequently decreased by 2.3% since 2012 (Howlander et al., 2019; Siegel et al., 2019). Factors that improve adverse outcomes (e.g., symptoms) have become increasingly more important throughout the cancer survivorship continuum, which is the length of time from a cancer diagnosis until the end of life (Mullan, 1985; Sheikh-Wu et al., 2021, 2022). Studies show that cancer survivors report beneficial and adverse experiences associated with a cancer diagnosis and subsequent treatments. For example, survivors report positive changes associated with receiving a cancer diagnosis, such as increased quality of life and enhanced life satisfaction (Carver & Antoni, 2004; Wang et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2017). In addition to survivors' positive changes, survivors also report adverse symptoms, physical or psychological subjective signs that indicate an abnormal condition within the human body, typically caused by an injury or disease, and measured by occurrence, frequency, and severity (Gapstur, 2007; Sheikh-Wu et al., 2020, 2021; Sreedhar, 2021; Stark et al., 2012).

Adverse symptoms tend to accumulate from cancer and its treatments.

Positive psychology, which includes benefit finding and post-traumatic growth, is a potential change associated with a cancer diagnosis and can affect outcomes. Positive psychology focuses on an individual's traits or character strengths, positive mental states, and social organizations that can improve outcomes (Casellas-Grau et al., 2016; Cerezo et al., 2014; Macaskill, 2016). Benefit finding is a process where a survivor reassigns positive meaning and values to their cancer diagnosis based on the benefits they identify with and that begins immediately after a person is diagnosed with cancer (Andrykowski et al., 2017; Jansen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015). Post-traumatic growth is one's ability to cope after a traumatic event (Batista et al., 2014; Jansen et al., 2011; Occhipinti et al., 2015; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996). Post-traumatic growth is a slow, progressive change in interpersonal and life perspective that occurs through conscious cognitive coping (Batista et al., 2014; Jansen et al., 2011; Occhipinti et al., 2015; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996). Studies show that a traumatic event may lead to changes in benefit finding and post-traumatic growth. A traumatic event is considered an unexpected and uncontrolled crisis, such as a physical or sexual assault or abuse, sudden death of a loved one, natural disaster, or severe illness or hospitalization that produces lifelong effects (Menger et al., 2020; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996). Individuals experiencing a traumatic event may evaluate, assign, and cope with the event in such a way that they obtain a sense of benefit from the trauma (Casellas-Grau et al., 2016; Menger et al., 2020), thereby leading to higher levels of benefit finding and post-traumatic growth. This change in positive psychology can influence one's perspective of subsequent traumas, as could be the case with someone who has prior trauma and then develops a new trauma, like a diagnosis of CRC.

Positive psychology influences the perception of living by providing an optimistic outlook to one's life and may also affect how CRC survivors view their symptoms. For example, a survivor with high levels of positive psychology may view their symptoms more favorably than a survivor with low levels of positive psychology. Studies among breast and mixed cancer populations have shown that survivors with high levels of benefit finding and post-traumatic growth report fewer psychological distress symptoms (stress, anxiety, and depression) and fewer adverse outcomes (Antoine et al., 2018; Hendriks & Aabidien, 2020; Llewellyn et al., 2013; McNulty & Fincham, 2012;

Walsh et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Differences in benefit finding and post-traumatic growth levels may affect symptoms among CRC survivors during acute cancer survivorship, which is the length of time from cancer diagnosis to the completion of primary treatment (Mullan, 1985; Sheikh-Wu et al., in press, 2022); however, evidence is sparse.

CRC survivors experience a wide range of symptoms, including depression, body image disorder, sexual dysfunction, bowel changes (e.g., constipation; excessive amounts of gas; narrow, ribbon-like stool), and neuropathy of the hands and feet (Deshields et al., 2014; Juul et al., 2018; Miaskowski et al., 2017; Mosher & Duhamel, 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2015; Sheikh-Wu et al., 2022). Symptoms are more abundant and severe and accumulate during acute cancer survivorship because survivors begin to undergo cancer treatment after diagnosis (Sheikh-Wu et al., in press, 2022). CRC survivors, much like breast, prostate, or lung cancer survivors, would undoubtedly have additional adverse outcomes if their symptoms were not managed (Sheikh-Wu et al., 2021, 2022). However, few studies have examined the effect of positive psychology and symptoms characteristics (i.e., occurrence, frequency, and severity) among CRC survivors during acute cancer survivorship.

Little is known about the effects of positive psychology and symptom characteristics such as occurrence, frequency, and severity, particularly during acute cancer survivorship among an under-researched CRC population (Andrykowski et al., 2017; Carver & Antoni, 2004; Lee et al., 2017; Seo & Kwon, 2018; Wen et al., 2017). In addition, understanding of the effects of prior trauma within the context of positive psychology and symptoms during acute cancer survivorship is limited. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine levels of positive psychology (benefit finding and post-traumatic growth), symptom characteristics (occurrence, frequency, and severity), and differences between CRC survivors with and without a prior traumatic event during acute cancer survivorship.

Methods

Study Design, Sample, and Framework

From the literature, a study framework was developed to guide the research questions, and it was used to describe the relationships between symptom characteristics and positive psychology (i.e., the aggregate of benefit finding and post-traumatic growth) among CRC survivors with or without a prior traumatic event during acute cancer survivorship. A cross-sectional

study of 117 CRC survivors was conducted at a National Cancer Institute–designated cancer center in South Florida. Recruitment and data collection occurred from April to August 2021. The institutional review board at the University of Miami approved the study. Electronic informed consent and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act waivers were obtained prior to data collection.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) being aged at least 18 years; (b) having the ability to comprehend English; (c) having a diagnosis of colon, rectal, or CRC; and (d) undergoing active treatment. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) having a diagnosis of severe mental illness (e.g., active bipolar disorder, schizophrenia); (b) having completed cancer treatment or being documented as terminally ill, or initiating hospice, comfort care, or end-of-life measures; and (c) being unable or unwilling to give informed consent.

Outcome Instruments

Instruments with established scoring procedures and evidence of validity with mixed cancer survivors were used in this study. All self-report measures were completed in REDCap (Harris et al., 2019). The authors created a 25-item self-report questionnaire to assess participant demographic information. The questionnaire included age, marital status, education level, and cancer-related questions. Traumatic events prior to survivors' cancer diagnosis were assessed by asking a multiple-part question. Questions addressed if the participant had ever experienced a traumatic event prior to their cancer diagnosis and how long ago the traumatic event took place. In addition, if the participant wanted to elaborate on the type of traumatic event, an open-ended comment box was available. Traumatic events were defined as a distressing incident that causes physical, psychological, emotional, or spiritual harm that involves an actual or perceived threat to a person (Menger et al., 2020; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996).

A modified Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist (Williams et al., 2013, 2015) of 49 items was used to assess the participants' weekly symptom occurrence, frequency (how often the symptom occurred: randomly [1–3 times], weekly [4–6 times], almost daily [7–9 times], or daily [10 or more times]), and severity (mild, moderate, severe, or very severe). The original checklist has shown good internal consistency with a Cronbach's alpha greater than 0.8 in samples of mixed cancer survivors (Mansouri et al., 2017; Sreedhar, 2021; Williams et al., 2013, 2015). The Therapy-Related

Symptom Checklist was modified to include additional symptoms ($n = 24$) common among CRC survivors (e.g., mucus in the stool, feeling pressure in gastrointestinal tract, anal pain). In addition, the Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist assessed psychological distress symptoms of anxiety, stress, and depression.

Positive psychology was assessed by the Carver Benefit Finding Scale and the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory. The Carver Benefit Finding Scale assessed survivors' benefit finding level using a 17-item questionnaire (Antoni et al., 2001; Carver, 2012; Carver & Antoni, 2004). The items are rated on a scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). The Carver Benefit Finding Scale has shown good internal consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.95 from a sample size of 209 men with prostate cancer (Pascoe & Edvardsson, 2015) and 0.87 in a Chinese population with CRC (Chen et al., 2021). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996) is a 21-item questionnaire assessing survivors' positive outcomes after a traumatic event, such as cancer. The inventory items are rated on a scale ranging from 0 (did not experience) to 5 (very great degree) and has shown good internal consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha ranging from 0.87 to 0.97 in samples of mixed cancer survivors and the general population ($n = 85$ and 604, respectively) (Grad & Zeligman, 2017; Park & Sinnott, 2018; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996; Zhang et al., 2019). A combined score of the Carver Benefit Finding Scale and the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory calculated the total positive psychology score (Jansen et al., 2011).

Statistical Methods

G*Power, version 3.1 (Faul et al., 2009), was used to estimate the post-hoc power and sample size for the research study. A power of 0.99 was achieved with 117 participants for a bivariate model with an effect size of 0.2 and $\alpha = 0.05$. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22.0. A p value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Measures of central tendencies and percentages were obtained to determine the study sample demographics, symptom characteristics, benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, positive psychology, and history of prior trauma. Covariates of age, sex, cancer stage, and treatment type were adjusted for symptom occurrence. For between-group differences in benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology based on sex and prior trauma, the Mann-Whitney U test (Hart, 2001) was performed. For between-group differences in post-traumatic growth, benefit

TABLE 1. Sample Characteristics

Characteristic	Total (N = 117)			Prior Traumatic Event (N = 48)			No Prior Traumatic Event (N = 69)		
	\bar{X}	SD	Range	\bar{X}	SD	Range	\bar{X}	SD	Range
Age (years)	55.31	11.62	21–81	57.08	12.64	28–81	54	10.84	21–80
Months postdiagnosis	25.41	22.08	-	27.61	25	-	23.87	19.99	-
Characteristic	n	%		n	%		n	%	
Gender									
Male	66	56		28	58		38	56	
Female	51	44		20	42		30	44	
Ethnicity									
Hispanic	53	45		20	42		33	47	
Non-Hispanic	64	55		28	58		36	53	
Race									
Black/African American	16	14		6	13		10	15	
White	85	73		39	81		46	68	
Other	14	12		3	6		11	16	
Education level									
Some high school	5	4		3	6		2	3	
High school	31	27		10	21		20	29	
Associate or bachelor's degree	61	52		24	50		37	54	
Master's degree	11	9		5	10		6	9	
Doctorate degree	2	2		1	2		1	1	
Other	6	5		4	8		2	3	
Cancer type									
Colon	77	66		33	69		43	63	
Colorectal	28	24		10	21		18	26	
Rectal	12	10		5	10		7	10	
Cancer stage									
I	2	2		2	4		-	-	
II	6	5		1	2		5	7	
III	16	14		7	15		9	13	
IV	93	79		38	79		54	78	
Months postdiagnosis									
6 or less	22	19		8	17		14	21	
7–12	19	16		8	17		11	16	
13–18	16	14		9	19		7	10	
19–24	22	19		7	15		14	21	
25 or more	38	32		16	33		22	32	
Treatment type									
Chemotherapy	87	74		36	75		50	74	
Adjuvant therapy	12	10		6	13		6	9	
Surgery	2	2		1	2		1	1	
Radiation therapy	1	1		-	-		1	1	
No response	15	13		5	10		10	15	

Note. There is no statistically significant difference between the prior traumatic event group and no prior traumatic event group.

Note. The n values per characteristic may not add up to 117 because participants had an option to not answer.

Note. Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.

finding, and positive psychology based on age, education, and postdiagnosis months, the Kruskal–Wallis H test (Ostertagová et al., 2014) was performed. Nonparametric statistical tests were used to test non-continuous data. Multiple linear regression (Grégoire, 2014) was used to model the relationship between positive psychology and (a) having undergone a prior traumatic event; (b) symptom number, frequency, and severity; and (c) psychological distress symptoms of stress, anxiety, and depression. The four assumptions of multiple linear regression (normality, linearity, homoscedasticity, and independence) were tested and met (Garson, 2014; Osbourne & Waters, 2002).

Results

Demographic and Sample Characteristics

A total of 117 survivors completed the study. Seventy-seven had colon cancer, 12 had rectal cancer, and 28 had CRC, with cancer stages ranging from I to IV. Participants' mean age was 55.31 years, and 44% were female. Forty-one percent of survivors reported a traumatic event prior to cancer. See Table 1 for aggregate sample and group (prior trauma and no prior trauma) demographics and health-related characteristics. There were no differences in demographics or health-related characteristics between CRC survivors with and without prior trauma. All participants were actively undergoing treatment.

Symptom Characteristics

The Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist assessed 49 symptoms based on occurrence, frequency, and severity. Table 2 details the top 10 symptoms reported among survivors based on history of prior trauma. Symptom data are rank ordered based on occurrence; symptom frequency and severity are also reported. After adjusting for age, sex, cancer stage, and treatment type for symptom occurrence, the authors observed the following:

- Age was not found to be significant ($p > 0.05$).
- Sex was significant for symptoms of hair loss (women reported a higher occurrence rate [$p = 0.003$]) and abdominal bloating (men reported a higher occurrence rate [$p = 0.042$]).
- Cancer stage was significant for symptoms of sore veins ($p = 0.012$) and gastrointestinal pressure ($p = 0.019$) (survivors with stage III cancer reported a higher occurrence rate).
- Treatment type was significant for symptoms of difficulty swallowing, dizziness/lightheadedness, headache, and anal pain (survivors undergoing chemotherapy reported a higher occurrence rate) ($p < 0.001$).

The most common symptoms among survivors with a prior traumatic event were neuropathy of the hands/feet, feeling sluggish/fatigue, generalized weakness, anxiety, and generalized pain. The most common symptoms reported among survivors without a prior traumatic event were neuropathy of the hands/feet, feeling sluggish/fatigue, skin changes (sensitivity, discoloration, texture, and dryness), sleep disturbances, and weight loss. Psychological distress symptoms varied between those with and without prior trauma. Survivors with a prior traumatic event reported a higher occurrence of anxiety (54% versus 31%, $p = 0.011$), stress (52% versus 38%, $p = 0.159$), and depression (40% versus 22%, $p = 0.047$), compared to survivors without a prior traumatic event. All three psychological distress symptoms were reported in 49% of the survivors with prior trauma, compared to 30% in those without prior trauma.

Positive Psychology, Benefit Finding, and Post-Traumatic Growth Characteristics

Nearly all survivors (about 90%) reported some level of benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology (see Tables 3 and 4). More than half of the survivors reported moderate to high levels of benefit finding (80%, $\bar{X} = 3.42$, $SD = 1.05$), post-traumatic growth (71%, $\bar{X} = 3.01$, $SD = 1.27$), and positive psychology (75%, $\bar{X} = 3.21$, $SD = 1.08$). Forty-one percent of the survivors reported a prior traumatic event before their CRC diagnosis (benefit finding: $\bar{X} = 3.3$, $SD = 1.12$, post-traumatic growth: $\bar{X} = 2.67$, $SD = 1.41$, and positive psychology: $\bar{X} = 2.98$, $SD = 1.2$). CRC survivors with a prior traumatic event reported lower post-traumatic growth levels ($t[-2.419] = -0.572$, $p = 0.017$). No statistically significant relationship was found between prior trauma with benefit finding ($t[-1.063] = -0.209$, $p = 0.29$) or positive psychology levels ($t[-1.93] = -0.081$, $p = 0.056$).

Group Difference and Positive Psychology Characteristics

The authors assessed differences among age, sex, education, and postdiagnosis months between CRC survivors' ($n = 117$) benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels. There were only differences with age and benefit finding ($p = 0.01$), post-traumatic growth ($p = 0.007$), and positive psychology levels ($p = 0.012$) (see Figure 1). Positive psychology levels decreased with increasing age. CRC survivors aged 20–29 years reported the highest levels of benefit finding ($\bar{X} = 4.88$),

TABLE 2. Colorectal Cancer Survivors' Top 10 Symptom Characteristics (N = 117)

Rank	Symptom	OR		Frequency								Severity								
				Randomly		Weekly		Almost Daily		Daily		Mild		Moderate		Severe		Very Severe		
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Prior traumatic event (N = 48)																				
1	Neuropathy of the hands/feet	33	69	6	13	2	4	4	8	21	44	7	15	15	31	10	21	1	2	
2	Feeling sluggish/fatigue	32	67	7	15	8	17	6	13	11	23	7	15	19	40	6	13	-	-	
3	Generalized weakness	27	56	9	19	2	4	6	13	10	21	9	19	14	29	4	8	-	-	
4	Anxiety	26	54	12	25	5	10	2	4	6	13	8	17	13	27	4	8	1	2	
5	Generalized pain	25	52	8	17	4	8	6	13	7	15	5	10	10	21	8	17	1	2	
6	Stress	25	52	11	23	6	13	1	2	6	13	8	17	12	25	4	8	1	2	
7	Hair loss ^a	24	50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8	17	6	13	7	15	3	6	
8	Skin changes ^b	24	50	8	17	4	8	2	4	10	21	10	21	13	27	1	2	-	-	
9	Sleep disturbances	24	50	8	17	1	2	7	15	8	17	1	2	16	33	6	13	1	2	
10	Weight loss ^c	23	48	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4	8	11	23	5	10	1	2	
No prior traumatic event (N = 69)																				
1	Neuropathy of the hands/feet	47	69	5	7	1	1	7	10	32	47	14	21	15	22	14	21	2	3	
2	Feeling sluggish/fatigue	41	60	11	16	4	6	7	10	18	26	16	24	19	28	4	6	-	-	
3	Skin changes ^b	38	56	6	9	10	15	2	3	20	29	20	29	16	24	1	1	1	1	
4	Sleep disturbances	33	49	6	9	5	7	5	7	17	25	12	18	11	16	10	15	-	-	
5	Weight loss ^d	32	47	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	4	13	19	10	15	4	6	

Continued on the next page

TABLE 2. Colorectal Cancer Survivors' Top 10 Symptom Characteristics (N = 117) (Continued)

Rank	Symptom	Frequency										Severity							
		OR		Randomly		Weekly		Almost Daily		Daily		Mild		Moderate		Severe		Very Severe	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
No prior traumatic event (N = 69) (continued)																			
6	Generalized weakness	30	44	7	10	2	4	5	7	15	22	7	10	16	24	6	9	1	1
7	Sexual activity disturbances	28	41	4	6	4	6	5	7	15	22	6	9	10	15	9	13	2	3
8	Diarrhea	28	41	11	16	8	12	7	10	2	3	9	13	15	22	3	4	-	-
9	Nausea	28	41	17	25	7	10	2	3	2	3	16	24	8	12	3	4	-	-
10	Hair loss ^e	27	40	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	17	25	6	9	3	4	1	1

^a Hair loss amount categories: thinning hair (n = 12, 25%), gradual hair loss (n = 7, 15%), clumps of hair loss (n = 2, 4%), and full hair loss (n = 3, 6%)
^b Indicates types of skin changes that include sensitivity, discoloration, texture, and dryness
^c \bar{X} weight loss = 32.67 pounds (SD = 30.66)
^d \bar{X} weight loss = 25.92 pounds (SD = 19.79)
^e Hair loss amount categories: thinning hair (n = 12, 18%), gradual hair loss (n = 12, 18%), clumps of hair loss (n = 2, 3%), and full hair loss (n = 1, 1%)
 NA—not applicable; OR—occurrence rate
Note. The n values for symptom frequency and/or severity may not add up to the n values for OR because participants had an option to not answer.
Note. Rank lists symptoms from most to least experienced by occurrence.
Note. Frequency key: 1–3 times = randomly, 4–6 times = weekly, 7–9 times = almost daily, and 10 or more = daily

post-traumatic growth (\bar{X} = 4.57), and positive psychology (\bar{X} = 4.73), and those aged 80–89 years reported the lowest levels of benefit finding (\bar{X} = 2), post-traumatic growth (\bar{X} = 1.76), and positive psychology (\bar{X} = 1.88).

The authors evaluated the effect of prior trauma and group differences on benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels. They observed a relationship between sex and post-traumatic growth levels (p = 0.023) among CRC survivors with a prior traumatic event. The mean post-traumatic growth level was 3.09 for men and 2.1 for women. Among CRC survivors without a prior traumatic event, the authors observed significant relationships between sex and (a) benefit finding levels (p = 0.031, \bar{X}_{male} score = 3.79 and \bar{X}_{female} score = 3.24); (b) post-traumatic growth levels (p = 0.023, \bar{X}_{male} score = 3.63 and \bar{X}_{female} score = 2.91); and (c) positive psychology levels (p = 0.015, \bar{X}_{male} score = 3.7 and \bar{X}_{female} score = 3.07). There was no meaningful relationship with education, postdiagnosis months, and age.

Relationships Between Positive Psychology Levels and Symptoms

The authors assessed the relationship between positive psychology and symptom characteristics (number, frequency, and severity) and psychological distress symptoms (stress, anxiety, and depression). Only positive psychology and symptom frequency (F[3,112] = 6.151, p < 0.001) were significant. Symptoms that occurred at random were significantly related to increased positive psychology levels (t[13,26] = 3.914, p < 0.001). Symptoms that occurred almost daily (t[-3.2] = -1.09, p = 0.002) and daily (t[2.7] = -0.92, p = 0.008) significantly decreased positive psychology levels among CRC survivors. Symptoms that occurred weekly were not significantly related to positive psychology levels.

The authors examined group differences in positive psychology levels and symptom characteristics (number, frequency, and severity) and psychological distress symptoms (stress, anxiety, and depression) among CRC survivors with and without a prior traumatic event. There were no significant findings among

TABLE 3. Response Levels of the Carver Benefit Finding Scale

Response Level	Score	Categorical Score	Respondent Number (N = 117)		Prior Traumatic Event (N = 48)	
			n	%	n	%
Not at all	1	Low level of benefit finding	6	5	5	10
A little	2	Low level of benefit finding	17	15	8	17
Moderately	3	Moderate to high level of benefit finding	31	27	12	25
Quite a bit	4	Moderate to high level of benefit finding	45	38	17	35
Extremely	5	Moderate to high level of benefit finding	18	15	6	13

CRC survivors with a prior traumatic event. The authors only observed a relationship between positive psychology and symptom frequency ($F[1,64] = 8.129, p = 0.006$) among CRC survivors without a prior traumatic event. Symptoms that occurred daily significantly decreased positive psychology levels ($t[2.673] = 1.052, p = 0.01$). Symptoms that occurred randomly, weekly, and almost daily were not significantly related to positive psychology levels among survivors without a prior traumatic event.

Discussion

This study has several observations that warrant additional discussion. This study is one of few studies to assess the relationships between positive psychology (benefit finding and post-traumatic growth) and symptom characteristics (occurrence, frequency, and severity) among CRC survivors during acute cancer survivorship. Prior trauma has been associated with changes in positive psychology, and the authors assessed for the potential impact of prior trauma on these relationships.

First, the current study observed a significant relationship between positive psychology levels and symptom frequency among CRC survivors. Symptoms reported almost daily and daily were inversely related to positive psychology levels during acute cancer survivorship. This finding suggests that the more frequent the symptom occurrence, the more impact the symptom has on the survivor, such as blunting or reducing any potential short- or long-term psychological growth from coping and dealing with a CRC diagnosis. Also, longitudinal studies are warranted to determine if this relationship is sustained after acute cancer survivorship because studies report fewer symptoms after the acute phase. Studies show there are benefits of higher levels of positive

psychology, including increased levels of well-being and quality of life (e.g., life satisfaction and purpose) and reduced morbidity (e.g., improvement in physical and psychological function) (Andrykowski et al., 2017; Casellas-Grau et al., 2016; Cerezo et al., 2014; Macaskill, 2016; Menger et al., 2020). This suggests that reducing symptom frequency or potentially managing symptoms early could increase positive psychology levels, resulting in greater life satisfaction and improved health-related outcomes.

Second, higher levels of positive psychology have been associated with a reduced burden of psychological distress symptoms (stress, anxiety, and depression) across several cancer populations (Andrykowski et al., 2017; Hendriks et al., 2020; Mosher & Duhamel, 2012; Wang et al., 2015). However, the current study did not observe a relationship between benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, or positive psychology levels, and psychological distress symptoms among the study aggregate. However, when the authors evaluated the relationship between positive psychology and psychological distress symptoms based on the history of a reported prior traumatic event, CRC survivors with a prior traumatic event reported more psychological distress symptoms. Individuals with a history of prior trauma have been shown to have higher occurrence rates of psychological distress symptoms than those without a prior trauma in various populations (Kessler et al., 2018). Specifically, studies have shown that those with prior trauma who experience a new and similar trauma (e.g., previously unexpected loss of a partner, then a new CRC diagnosis) are more likely to report high levels of psychological distress independent of positive psychology levels (Fang et al., 2020; Slanbekova et al., 2017). The high levels of psychological distress seen in this type of circumstance may represent a post-traumatic stress disorder and

may blunt any potential benefit from post-traumatic growth or benefit finding affecting levels of positive psychology (Fang et al., 2020; Slanbekova et al., 2017). Although there was no statistically significant relationship between levels of positive psychology and psychological distress symptoms, this does not exclude a potentially clinically meaningful effect. For example, studies should evaluate if positive changes associated with cancer affected health-seeking behaviors, such as self-management of symptoms, which could influence the quality of life and reduce morbidity.

Third, understanding of benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels among CRC survivors throughout acute cancer survivorship is limited. More than 70% of the current sample had moderate to high benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels, suggesting that CRC survivors positively cope with their cancer during acute cancer survivorship. In addition, CRC survivors without a history of prior trauma reported more positive changes (e.g., higher levels of benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology) associated with their cancer diagnosis. The authors observed differences in positive changes based on prior trauma and sex. Among CRC survivors with prior trauma, men reported higher benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels than women, suggesting that men may adapt and cope faster when faced with a traumatic event. The current CRC survivor sample was predominantly male (56%) and is reflective of national trends. However, studies regarding benefit finding,

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- Moderate to high levels of positive psychology suggest that colorectal cancer survivors positively cope with their cancer diagnosis and treatment during acute cancer survivorship.
- Positive psychology levels vary based on sex, age, and history of prior trauma; men and younger adults report the highest levels of positive psychology.
- Symptoms that occur more frequently (e.g., almost daily, daily) decreased positive psychology levels.

post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology have been conducted predominantly with breast cancer survivors (Antoine et al., 2018; Hendriks et al., 2020), limiting knowledge of the effects in men. Studies conducted with prostate cancer survivors have shown low to moderate levels of positive psychology (Pascoe & Edvardsson, 2015; Thornton & Perez, 2006). However, these studies have been conducted with older adult prostate cancer survivors (aged 65 years or older) post-cancer treatment (Pascoe & Edvardsson, 2015; Thornton & Perez, 2006) and did not account for prior history of trauma. Similar findings are reported among veteran, post-traumatic stress disorder, and sexual assault populations regarding moderate positive psychology levels and psychological distress symptoms following exposure to traumatic events (Tsai et al., 2015; Ulloa et al., 2016). However, findings are still inconsistent, which may be because of the variability of study design, time since the traumatic

TABLE 4. Response Levels of the PTG Inventory

Response Level	Score	Categorical Score	Respondent Number (N = 115)		Prior Traumatic Event (N = 47)	
			n	%	n	%
Not at all	0	No PTG	5	4	4	9
Very small degree	1	Low level of PTG	15	13	6	13
Small degree	2	Low level of PTG	13	11	11	23
Moderate	3	Moderate to high level of PTG	37	32	11	23
Great degree	4	Moderate to high level of PTG	31	27	11	23
Very great degree	5	Moderate to high level of PTG	14	12	4	9

PTG—post-traumatic growth
Note. 2 participants did not answer the questionnaire.
Note. Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.

event exposure, theoretical framework, and measurement tool.

From the aggregate sample, the authors observed that benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology levels decreased with advancing age during acute cancer survivorship. This observation is independent of a history of prior trauma. Young CRC survivors reported higher positive changes related to their cancer. Previous work has suggested that positive psychology levels remain consistent regardless of age because the cognitive coping process is based on one's personality characteristics and traits (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996). However, research in various cancer populations has indicated an inverse relationship between post-traumatic growth and age (Koutrouli et al., 2016). That is, younger survivors may perceive an unexpected cancer diagnosis as more traumatic

or life-threatening compared to older survivors. Therefore, younger survivors may develop higher levels of positive psychology (post-traumatic growth and benefit finding) because their perception of the CRC diagnosis (e.g., trauma) was significantly greater.

Limitations


This study has several limitations. Because this is a cross-sectional study, data were obtained from one point in time, making it difficult to assess positive psychology and symptom trajectories. However, the authors minimized this concern by sampling CRC survivors across the acute cancer survivorship continuum. Future work should be prospective in nature with repeated measures to quantify positive psychology levels and evaluate their effects on symptom trajectories among CRC survivors. In addition, a larger sample size (e.g., equal numbers per age group with and without prior trauma) would allow for a better understanding of relationships among age, sex, prior trauma, symptoms, and positive psychology levels among CRC survivors. Given the predominance of stage IV CRC survivors in the current sample, it is also difficult to determine the relationship between positive psychology and cancer stage, and benefits of positive psychology reported may reflect effects from age and other factors. The current sample was reflective of national CRC demographic characteristics regarding age, sex, cancer stage, and education levels; however, the study underrepresented non-Hispanic Black individuals, limiting generalizability despite being reflective of South Florida demographics.

Implications for Nursing

Oncology nurses are well poised to implement and promote self-management skills to reduce adverse outcomes, like symptoms among CRC survivors. Nurses need to prioritize and track symptom frequency; less frequent symptoms improve positive psychology levels (positive change related to cancer) among CRC survivors. Most importantly, nurses need to be educated on positive psychology and the associated benefits that improve survivors' outcomes (e.g., quality of life, life satisfaction) and reduce negative symptom burden during acute cancer survivorship. A better understanding of CRC survivors' positive psychology may help improve survivors' awareness of these changes associated with benefit finding and post-traumatic growth and reduce adverse symptoms throughout their treatment.

Additional emphasis needs to be placed on positive psychology in the clinical setting to aid nurses'

FIGURE 1. Benefit Finding, PTG, and Positive Psychology Levels by Age Range (N = 117)



PTG—post-traumatic growth

Note. 2 participants were aged 20–29 years, 9 were aged 30–39 years, 24 were aged 40–49 years, 40 were aged 50–59 years, 29 were aged 60–69 years, 11 were aged 70–79 years, and 2 were aged 80 years or older.

Note. Scores range from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating a greater degree of change in survivors' positive psychology and lower scores indicating little to no degree of change in survivors' positive psychology levels. Higher levels of positive psychology suggest survivors have positively coped with their cancer diagnosis.

understanding of positive changes associated with survivors' cancer and help identify potential survivors who are not adjusting to their cancer during treatment. Nurses should assess for prior trauma to identify any potential impacts prior trauma may have on the survivor, their symptoms, and positive adjustment to their cancer during acute cancer survivorship. Early identification of positive changes from the survivors and healthcare team (i.e., nurse practitioners and nurses) may promote the survivors' self-awareness and management skills, mitigating the adverse symptoms of treatments during acute cancer survivorship. Therefore, understanding the role of positive psychology on the symptom characteristics may help identify CRC survivors' risk factors and potential modifiable factors that could be manipulated to develop interventions.

Conclusion

This study evaluated CRC survivors' positive psychology (benefit finding and post-traumatic growth); symptom characteristics (occurrence, frequency, and severity); and differences between survivors with and without a prior traumatic event during acute cancer survivorship. This study is one of the first to gather new insights regarding CRC survivors' positive psychology and symptoms during treatment. Forty-one percent of CRC survivors had a history of a prior traumatic event, and symptoms varied based on survivors with a prior traumatic event. CRC survivors' positive psychology levels declined with age, and men reported higher levels of benefit finding, post-traumatic growth, and positive psychology. Understanding the importance of relationships between positive psychology, symptoms, and health outcomes is important for nurses because nurses are poised to evaluate and monitor for changes in positive psychology and symptoms during acute cancer survivorship. A better understanding of these relationships can provide the foundation for interventions that increase positive psychology and improve symptom management among CRC survivors across cancer survivorship.

Sameena F. Sheikh-Wu, PhD, BA, BSN, RN-BC, is a PhD student, **Debbie Anglade, PhD, RN, MSN, CPHQ, CCM**, is an associate professor of clinical nursing, and **Karina A. Gattamorta, PhD**, is a research associate professor, all in the School of Nursing and Health Studies at the University of Miami in Coral Gables, FL; **Canhua Xiao, PhD, RN, FAAN**, is an associate professor in the Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing at Emory University in Atlanta, GA; and

Charles A. Downs, PhD, ACNP-BC, FAAN, is an associate professor in the School of Nursing and Health Studies at the University of Miami. Sheikh-Wu can be reached at sfs23@miami.edu, with copy to ONFEditor@ons.org. (Submitted March 2022. Accepted June 17, 2022.)

This research was supported, in part, by a dissertation grant from the Beta Tau Chapter of Sigma.

Sheikh-Wu completed the data collection. Sheikh-Wu and Gattamorta provided statistical support. Sheikh-Wu and Xiao provided the analysis. All authors contributed to the conceptualization and design and the manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society. (2020). *Colorectal cancer rates rise in younger adults*. <https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/colorectal-cancer-rates-rise-in-younger-adults.html>
- American Cancer Society. (2021). *Survival rates for colorectal cancer*. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/survival-rates.html#references>
- Andrykowski, M.A., Steffens, R.F., Bush, H.M., & Tucker, T.C. (2017). Posttraumatic growth and benefit-finding in lung cancer survivors: The benefit of rural residence? *Journal of Health Psychology, 22*(7), 896–905. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315617820>
- Antoine, P., Dauvier, B., Andreotti, E., & Congard, A. (2018). Individual differences in the effects of a positive psychology intervention: Applied psychology. *Personality and Individual Differences, 122*(1), 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.10.024>
- Antoni, M.H., Lehman, J.M., Kilbourn, K.M., Boyers, A.E., Culver, J.L., Alferi, S.M., . . . Carver, C.S. (2001). Cognitive-behavioral stress management intervention decreases the prevalence of depression and enhances benefit finding among women under treatment for early-stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology, 20*(1), 20–32. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.20.1.20>
- Batista, S., Charro, S., Ribeiro, A., & Monteiro, L. (2014). Post-traumatic growth in oncology. *Psycho-Oncology, 23*, 375–375.
- Carver, C.S. (2012). Finding benefit in the experience of breast cancer: Issues and questions. *International Journal of Psychology, 47*, 100.
- Carver, C.S., & Antoni, M.H. (2004). Finding benefit in breast cancer during the year after diagnosis predicts better adjustment 5 to 8 years after diagnosis. *Health Psychology, 23*(6), 595–598. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.23.6.595>
- Casellas-Grau, A., Font, A., Vines, J., & Ochoa, C. (2016). Positive psychology functioning in breast cancer: An integrative review. *Breast, 27*, 136–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2016.04.001>
- Cerezo, M.V., Ortiz-Tallo, M., Cardenal, V., & de La Torre-Luque, A. (2014). Positive psychology group intervention for breast cancer patients: A randomised trial. *Psychological Reports, 115*(1), 44–64. <https://doi.org/10.2466/15.20.PRO.115c1727>

- Chen, M., Gong, J., Li, J., Luo, X., & Li, Q. (2021). The experienced benefits of the 17-item Benefit Finding Scale in Chinese colorectal cancer survivor and spousal caregiver couples. *Healthcare*, 9(8), 512. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9050512>
- Deshields, T., Potter, P., Olsen, S., & Liu, J. (2014). The persistence of symptom burden: Symptom experience and quality of life of cancer patients across one year. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 22(4), 1089–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-013-2049-3>
- Fang, S., Chung, M.C., & Wang, Y. (2020). The impact of past trauma on psychological distress: The roles of defense mechanisms and alexithymia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 992.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149–1160. <https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.4.1149>
- Gapstur, R.L. (2007). Symptom burden: A concept analysis and implications for oncology nurses. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 34(3), 673–680. <https://doi.org/10.1188/07.ONF.673-680>
- Garson, G.D. (2014). *Multiple regression*. Statistical Associates Publishers. <http://www.statisticalassociates.com/booklist.htm>
- Grad, R.I., & Zeligman, M. (2017). Predictors of post-traumatic growth: The role of social interest and meaning in life. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 73(3), 190–207. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jip.2017.0016>
- Grégoire, G. (2014). Multiple linear regression. *EAS Publications Series*, 66, 45–72. <https://doi.org/10.1051/eas/1466005>
- Harris, P.A., Taylor, R., Minor, B.L., Elliott, V., Fernandez, M., O'Neal, L., . . . Duda, S.N. (2019). The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 95, 103208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208>
- Hart, A. (2001). Mann–Whitney test is not just a test of medians: Differences in spread can be important. *British Medical Journal*, 323(7309), 391–393. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.323.7309.391>
- Hendriks, T., & Aabidien, H. (2020). The efficacy of multi-component positive psychology interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(1), 357–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00082-1>
- Hendriks, T., Schotanus-Dijkstra, M., Hassankhan, A., de Jong, J., & Bholmeijer, E. (2020). The efficacy of multi-component positive psychology interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(1), 357–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00082-1>
- Howlander, N., Noone, A.M., Krapcho, M., Miller, D., Brest, A., Yu, M., . . . Cronin, K.A. (2019). *SEER cancer statistics review, 1975–2016*. National Cancer Institute.
- Jansen, L., Hoffmeister, M., Chang-Claude, J., Brenner, H., & Arndt, V. (2011). Benefit finding and post-traumatic growth in long-term colorectal cancer survivors: Prevalence, determinants, and associations with quality of life. *British Journal of Cancer*, 105(8), 1158–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2011.335>
- Juul, J.S., Hornung, N., Andersen, B., Laurberg, S., Olesen, F., & Vedsted, P. (2018). The value of using the faecal immunochemical test in general practice on patients presenting with non-alarm symptoms of colorectal cancer. *British Journal of Cancer*, 119(4), 471–479. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-018-0178-7>
- Kessler, R.C., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Bromet, E.J., Gureje, O., Karam, E.G., . . . Zaslavsky, A.M. (2018). The associations of earlier trauma exposures and history of mental disorders with PTSD after subsequent traumas. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 23(9), 1892–1899. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.194>
- Koutrouli, N., Anagnostopoulos, F., Griva, F., Gourounti, K., Kolokotroni, F., Efstathiou, V., . . . Potamianos, G. (2016). Exploring the relationship between posttraumatic growth, cognitive processing, psychological distress, and social constraints in a sample of breast cancer patients. *Women and Health*, 56(6), 650–667. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2015.1118725>
- Lee, H.-S., Lee, S., Park, S., Baek, Y., Youn, J.-H., Cho, D.B., . . . Ko, K.-P. (2017). The association between somatic and psychological discomfort and health-related quality of life according to the elderly and non-elderly. *Quality of Life Research*, 27(3), 673–681. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-017-1715-1>
- Llewellyn, C.D., Horney, D.J., McGurk, M., Weinman, J., Herold, J., Altman, K., & Smith, H.E. (2013). Assessing the psychological predictors of benefit finding in patients with head and neck cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, 22(1), 97–105.
- Macaskill, A. (2016). Review of positive psychology applications in clinical medical populations. *Healthcare*, 4(3), 66. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare4030066>
- Mansouri, A., Motaghedi, R., Rashidian, A., Ashouri, A., Kagrar, M., Hajibabaei, M., . . . Ansari, S. (2017). Validity and reliability assessment of the Persian version of Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist. *Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 42(3), 292–300.
- McNulty, J.K., & Fincham, F.D. (2012). Beyond positive psychology? *American Psychologist*, 67(2), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024572>
- Menger, F., Patterson, J., O'Hara, J., & Sharp, L. (2020). Research priorities on post-traumatic growth: Where next for the benefit of cancer survivors? *Psycho-Oncology*, 29(11), 1968–1970. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5490>
- Miaskowski, C., Barsevick, A., Berger, A., Casagrande, R., Grady, P.A., Jacobsen, P., . . . Marden, S. (2017). Advancing symptom science through symptom cluster research: Expert panel proceedings and recommendations. *Journal of National Cancer Institute*, 109(4), djw253. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw253>
- Mosher, C.E., & Duhamel, K.N. (2012). An examination of distress, sleep, and fatigue in metastatic breast cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 21(1), 100–107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1873>
- Mullan, F. (1985). Seasons of survival: Reflections of a physician with cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 313(4), 270–273. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198507253130421>
- National Cancer Institute. (2021). *Cancer stat facts: Colorectal cancer*. <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/colorect.html>

- Occhipinti, S., Chambers, S.K., Lepore, S., Aitken, J., & Dunn, J. (2015). A longitudinal study of post-traumatic growth and psychological distress in colorectal cancer survivors. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9), e0139119. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139119>
- Osbourne, J.W., & Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation*, 8(2), 1–5.
- Ostertagová, E., Ostertag, O., & Kováč, J.O. (2014). Methodology and application of the Kruskal–Wallis test. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 611, 115–120. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.611.115>
- Park, C.L., & Sinnott, S.M. (2018). Testing the validity of self-reported posttraumatic growth in young adult cancer survivors. *Behavioral Sciences*, 8(12), 116.
- Pascoe, L., & Edvardsson, D. (2015). Psychometric properties and performance of the 17-item Benefit Finding Scale (BFS) in an outpatient population of men with prostate cancer. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 19(2), 169–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2014.09.004>
- Rasmussen, S., Larsen, P.V., Søndergaard, J., Elnegaard, S., Svendsen, R.P., & Jarbøl, D.E. (2015). Specific and non-specific symptoms of colorectal cancer and contact to general practice. *Family Practice*, 32(4), 387–394. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmvo32>
- Seo, E.Y., & Kwon, S. (2018). The influence of spiritual well-being, self-esteem, and perceived social support on post-traumatic growth among breast cancer survivors. *Asian Oncology Nursing*, 18(4), 232–240. <https://doi.org/10.5388/aon.2018.18.4.232>
- Sheikh-Wu, S.F., Anglade, D., Gattamorta, K., Xiao, C., & Downs, C.A. (in press). A cancer survivorship model for holistic cancer care and research. *Canadian Oncology Nursing Journal*.
- Sheikh-Wu, S.F., Anglade, D., Gattamorta, K., Xiao, C., & Downs, C.A. (2022). Symptom occurrence, frequency, and severity among colorectal cancer survivors during acute cancer survivorship. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 49(5), 421–431. <https://doi.org/10.1188/22.ONF.421-431>
- Sheikh-Wu, S.F., Downs, C.A., & Anglade, D. (2020). Interventions for managing a symptom cluster of pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbances during cancer survivorship: A systematic review. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 47(4), E107–E119. <https://doi.org/10.1188/20.ONF.E107-E119>
- Sheikh-Wu, S.F., Kauffman, M.A., Anglade, D., Shamsaldeen, F., Ahn, S., & Downs, C.A. (2021). Effectiveness of different music interventions on managing symptoms in cancer survivors: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 52, 101968. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2021.101968>
- Siegel, R.L., Miller, K.D., & Jemal, A. (2019). Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 69(1), 7–34. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21551>
- Slanbekova, G., Chung, M.C., Abildina, S., Sabirova, R., Kapbasova, G., & Karipbaev, B. (2017). The impact of coping and emotional intelligence on the relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder from past trauma, adjustment difficulty, and psychological distress following divorce. *Journal of Mental Health*, 26(4), 334–341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2017.1322186>
- Sreedhar, J.S. (2021). Symptom occurrence, severity, and self-care methods by ethnicity and age group among adults with cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 48(5), 522–534. <https://doi.org/10.1188/21.ONF.522-534>
- Stark, L.L., Tofthagen, C., Visovsky, C., & McMillan, S.C. (2012). The symptom experience of patients with cancer. *Journal of Hospice and Palliative Nursing*, 14(1), 61–70.
- Tedeschi, R.G., & Calhoun, L.G. (1996). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory: Measuring the positive legacy of trauma. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9(3), 455–471.
- Thornton, A.A., & Perez, M.A. (2006). Posttraumatic growth in prostate cancer survivors and their partners. *Psycho-Oncology*, 15(4), 285–296. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.953>
- Tsai, J., El-Gabalawy, R., Sledge, W.H., Southwick, S.M., & Pietrzak, R.H. (2015). Post-traumatic growth among veterans in the USA: Results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans study. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(1), 165–179. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714001202>
- Ulloa, E., Guzman, M.L., Salazar, M., & Cala, C. (2016). Post-traumatic growth and sexual violence: A literature review. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment and Trauma*, 25(3), 286–304.
- Walsh, D.M.J., Groarke, A.M., Morrison, T.G., Durkan, G., Rogers, E., & Sullivan, F.J. (2018). Measuring a new facet of post traumatic growth: Development of a scale of physical post traumatic growth in men with prostate cancer. *PLOS ONE*, 13(4), e0195992. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195992>
- Wang, Y., Zhu, X., Yi, J., Tang, L., He, J., Chen, G., . . . Yang, Y. (2015). Benefit finding predicts depressive and anxious symptoms in women with breast cancer. *Quality of Life Research*, 24(11), 2681–2688. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-015-1001-z>
- Wen, K.-Y., Ma, X.S., Fang, C., Song, Y., Tan, Y., Seals, B., & Ma, G.X. (2017). Psychosocial correlates of benefit finding in breast cancer survivors in China. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 22(13), 1731–1742. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105316637839>
- Williams, A.R., Williams, D.D., Williams, P.D., Alemi, F., Hesham, H., Donley, B., & Kheirbek, R.E. (2015). The development and application of an oncology Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist for Adults (TRSC) and Children (TRSC-C) and e-health applications. *BioMedical Engineering Online*, 14(Suppl. 2), S1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-925X-14-S2-S1>
- Williams, D.P., Graham, M.K., Storlie, L.D., Pedace, M.T., Haeflinger, V.K., Williams, D.D., . . . Williams, R.A. (2013). Therapy-Related Symptom Checklist use during treatments at a cancer center. *Cancer Nursing*, 36(3), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0b013e3182595406>
- Zhang, C., Gao, R., Tai, J., Li, Y., Chen, S., Chen, L., . . . Li, F. (2019). The relationship between self-perceived burden and posttraumatic growth among colorectal cancer patients: The mediating effects of resilience. *BioMed Research International*, 2019, 6840743. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6840743>