DNA Methylation of *BDNF* and RASA2 Genes Is Associated With Cognitive Function in Postmenopausal Women **With Breast Cancer**

Myeong-ga Cho, MSN, RN, Susan M. Sereika, PhD, Meredith H. Cummings, BSN, RN, OCN®, Kirk I. Erickson, PhD, Catherine M. Bender, PhD, RN, FAAN, and Yvette P. Conley, FAAN, PhD

OBJECTIVES: To determine associations among DNA methylation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and RAS p21 protein activator 2 (RASA2) genes with processing speed and perceived cognitive function.

SAMPLE & SETTING: This was a cross-sectional. secondary analysis of baseline data from a randomized controlled trial, the Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition Study.

METHODS & VARIABLES: Data included M values for DNA methylation of the BDNF and RASA2 genes; processing speed, objectively measured using the Grooved Pegboard and Digit Vigilance Test scores; and perceived cognitive function, self-reported using the Patient Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory. Regression analysis was conducted.

RESULTS: Greater methylation of cg21291635 of the BDNF gene (p = 0.01) and cg20247102 of the RASA2 gene (p = 0.013) were associated with poorer processing speed, whereas greater methylation of cg20108357 of the BDNF gene (p < 0.001) and cg00567892 of the RASA2 gene (p = 0.019) were associated with better perceived cognitive function.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: Gene methylation variations were demonstrated, suggesting the genes' potential roles and two possible distinct mechanisms of cognitive function in cancer.

KEYWORDS DNA methylation; cognition; processing speed; perceived cognitive function; breast cancer ONF, 51(4), 349-360.

DOI 10.1188/24.0NF.349-360

reast cancer is the most prevalent cancer type among women in the United States (American Cancer Society, 2023). About 75% of primary breast cancer cases are postmenopausal at diagnosis (Borch et al., 2015; Key et al., 2001), and more than 80% of postmenopausal women diagnosed with breast cancer receive endocrine therapy (Burstein et al., 2019; Lao et al., 2021). As many as 75% of women with breast cancer go through cancer-related cognitive decline (CRCD) (Cerulla Torrente et al., 2020). CRCD has profound negative effects on occupational functioning (Munir et al., 2010), self-confidence, social relationships (Von Ah et al., 2013), and quality of life by influencing interpersonal relationships, leisure activities, anxiety, and depression (Goretti et al., 2010; Hill et al., 2017; Mitchell et al., 2010). Processing speed is the speed at which an individual perceives a given stimulus, interprets the information from that stimulus, and produces a response (Kraft & Woods, 2021). It is among the most frequent cognitive domains to decline in patients with cancer (Pendergrass et al., 2018) and one of the most sensitive cognitive domains contributing to a decline in cerebral functions (Lezak et

Depending on the type of cancer and cancer treatment, the manifestations of CRCD vary widely in timing, severity, and the affected cognitive domains (Collins et al., 2009). CRCD frequently occurs during cancer treatment, but compared to women without breast cancer, 20%-30% of patients with breast cancer experience worsened cognitive function before the initiation of any systematic treatment (Cerulla Torrente et al., 2020). CRCD persists after adjuvant treatment in 49% of women with breast cancer who are aged 65 years

or older (Lange et al., 2016). Patients with breast cancer who received chemotherapy reported poorer processing speed compared to individuals without breast cancer (Bernstein et al., 2017). In addition, women who received chemotherapy and adjuvant endocrine therapy showed a greater decline in processing speed within one year of completing chemotherapy compared to those receiving chemotherapy alone (Collins et al., 2009). Cognitive function among patients with cancer has been measured with self-reported questionnaires and objective neuropsychologic tests, and the inconsequential link between self-reported and objectively measured cognitive function has been widely and consistently reported (Bray et al., 2018). One plausible explanation for this discrepancy is that some individuals may feel their cognitive functions are declining because they are exerting more effort to accomplish tasks, which could result in increased brain activity not detected by standard objective measures (Campbell et al., 2018). However, little biobehavioral evidence is known to explain the variability of cognitive function among women with breast cancer.

DNA methylation is a plausible biomarker to explain this variability. According to the accelerated aging theory, the presence of cancer and its treatments possibly accelerate the normal aging process, leading to alterations in brain structures and cognition, as well as death (Mandelblatt et al., 2013). DNA methylation is a notable and promising tool for guiding care in cancer survivorship because of its reversible nature and the fact that it is measured using blood samples (Guida et al., 2019; Mandelblatt et al., 2021). DNA methylation is an epigenetic modification that affects gene expression. Methylation patterns vary at interindividual and tissue levels by adding or removing methyl groups over cytosine-phosphateguanine (CpG) sites (Issa, 1999). Given their stability and regulation by genetic and lifestyle factors, DNA methylation patterns have the potential to serve as peripheral biomarkers for tracking cognitive aging variabilities at the population level (Conole et al., 2021). Despite limited research in patients with cancer, there is growing evidence linking declined cognitive function with DNA methylation patterns in genes that are critical for neural function or signaling (Yang et al., 2020).

Several plausible genes are associated with processing speed in humans; among them are the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and RAS p21 protein activator 2 (RASA2) genes. BDNF plays a role in neural cell creation, protection, and regulation, as well as synaptic plasticity, and is associated

with memory in aging (Erickson et al., 2010). DNA methylation at the BDNF promoter is associated with reduced synthesis of BDNF in neurons (Martinowich et al., 2003). RASA2 codes RAS proteins that control the mechanisms regulating cellular signaling, and is expressed in the brain, particularly in the cerebellum and hypothalamus (Rajalingam et al., 2007). BDNF and RASA2 genes are implicated in cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer disease and schizophrenia (Knowles et al., 2019). Considering their roles, a higher level of methylation is hypothesized to be related to a lower expression level, potentially leading to poorer cognitive function. However, very little is known about DNA methylation patterns in relation to cognitive function in the context of breast cancer and its treatments.

The authors found no previous studies exploring the associations of cognitive function with DNA methylation of BDNF and RASA2 genes in postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer who have been prescribed adjuvant therapy. In this hypothesisgenerating exploratory study guided by accelerated aging theory, the aim was to investigate the DNA methylation of the BDNF and RASA2 genes in association with processing speed and perceived cognitive function in this population.

Methods

Design

This study was a secondary analysis of a crosssectional design using existing baseline phenotypic and epigenomic data from a randomized controlled trial, the Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition Study, which aimed to examine whether six-month aerobic exercise improves cognitive function in postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer receiving endocrine therapy (Ro1CA196762). An ancillary study investigated the role of epigenomics in the Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition Study (Ro1CA221882). This study was approved by the institutional review boards of the University of Pittsburgh (PRO15120433), St. Clair Hospital (PRO1712001), and Carnegie Mellon University (study2016_00000197). The parent study met all ethical guidelines, including confidentiality, and all participants provided informed consent. The analyses used deidentified baseline data and were exempt from additional review by the institutional review board.

Participants

Enrollment criteria for both parent studies were identical and included women who were postmenopausal; aged younger than 80 years; diagnosed with stage 0, I, II, or IIIa breast cancer; were eligible to receive endocrine therapy; spoke English; and had a minimum of eight years of education. Exclusion criteria included the following: a diagnosis of any type of cancer before breast cancer (excluding some skin cancers), clinical evidence of distant metastases, a self-reported hospitalization for psychiatric illness within the past two

years, a history of neurologic illness, complications with breast cancer surgery, or reconstructive surgery within the study period. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, the inclusion criteria were later expanded to include women who were within two years of completing primary therapy (surgery and/or chemotherapy). More detailed information can be found in the protocol

	Included (N = 102)		Not Included (N = 51)		
Characteristic	X	SD	X	SD	р
Age (years)	62.7	7.99	62.2	9.1	0.744
Body mass index (kg/m²)	31.1	6.53	30.1	6.91	0.415
Education (years)	16.3	2.46	15.5	2.9	0.11
Processing speed					
Score	0.1	0.71	-0.2	1.03	0.029*
PAOFI score					
Total	21.1	17.14	22.6	17.73	0.61
Sensorimotor	2.9	3.15	2.1	2.36	0.084
Memory	8.6	6.36	9.7	6.62	0.309
Language and communication	5.8	5.37	5.8	5.54	0.995
Higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions	3.8	4.58	4.9	6.22	0.214
Characteristic	n	%	n	%	р
Breast cancer stage					0.838
Ductal carcinoma in situ	14	14	10	20	
I	64	63	32	63	
lla	15	15	6	12	
IIb	5	5	2	4	
IIIa	4	4	1	2	
Chemotherapy					0.767
No	84	82	41	80	
Yes	18	18	10	20	
Endocrine therapy					0.126
Yes	96	94	45	88	
No or unknown	6	6	6	12	
Race					0.318
Black or African American	8	8	1	2	
White	90	88	48	94	
Other ^a	4	4	2	4	

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

Note. Included were cases with DNA methylation data; not included were cases without DNA methylation data. For missing PAOFI scores, imputation using regression with auxiliary variables (e.g., age, year of education, verbal IQ score computed with the National Adult Reading Test) was conducted.

Note. Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.

^a Defined as American Indian, Asian, Native American, or more than 1 race

PAOFI—Patient's Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory

articles (Bender et al., 2024; Gentry et al., 2018). For this study, participants with cognitive function and DNA methylation data at prerandomization were eligible.

Measures

The original data were collected before randomization and six months after random group assignment from a total of 153 participants. Because the participants were randomly assigned to the exercise group (n = 77) or the usual care group (n = 76), and to exclude the influence of exercise on DNA methylation of BDNF (Gómez-Pinilla et al., 2002; Szuhany et al., 2015; Walsh & Tschakovsky, 2018), the data used were exclusively from prerandomization for this study.

DNA methylation data of the BDNF and RASA2 genes were collected with the Illumina® Infinium™ MethylationEPIC, version 1.0, BeadChip, using DNA extracted from peripheral blood. Using minfi and ENmix R packages, quality control was performed, and low-quality probes were detected and removed. A total of 88 CpG sites in the BDNF gene and 37 CpG sites in the RASA2 gene from 102 participants were retained after quality control. Methylation M values, the log of the ratio of the methylated and unmethylated intensities, were used. When M values are positive, the methylated signal is higher and indicates hypermethylation. When M values are negative, the unmethylated signal is higher and indicates hypomethylation. M values close to o imply similar signals between being methylated and unmethylated.

A processing speed factor was computed using scores from the Grooved Pegboard and Digit Vigilance tests (Lewis & Rennick, 1979). The scores for the Grooved Pegboard Test (Lewis & Rennick, 1979) are the times it takes participants to insert pegs into randomly positioned holes on a pegboard with their dominant and nondominant hands. For the Digit Vigilance Test (Lewis & Rennick, 1979), participants need to find and cross out all sixes and nines found on two pages of 59 lines of randomly appearing single-digit numbers. The scores are the time to complete a task and the number of errors committed. The individual neuropsychologic tests were selected because of their sensitivity to alterations in processing speed in women with breast cancer (Bender et al., 2015). The processing speed factor was derived by exploratory factor analysis. A mean Z score from the Z scores generated from the test values was computed as the final processing speed factor score. Overall, higher values indicate better processing speed.

Perceived cognitive function was measured with the Patient's Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory (PAOFI) (Chelune et al., 1986), a selfreport measure of perceived cognitive problems that yields a total and five subscale scores in the following categories: memory (nine items), language and communication (nine items), use of hands (two items), sensory-perceptual (three items), and higherlevel cognitive and intellectual functions (nine items). The use of hands and sensory-perceptual subscales are combined as another more generalizable subscale, the sensorimotor subscale (five items), which is negatively associated with quality of life among women with breast cancer (Bell et al., 2013). This exploratory study used total scores as well as sensorimotor, memory, language and communication,

TABLE 2. Associations Among Processing Speed and PAOFI Total and Subscale Scores (N = 102)

		PAOFi ^a					
Category	Processing Speed ^b	Total	Sensorimotor	Memory	Language and Communication	HLCIF	
Processing speed ^b	1	0.043	0.098	0.018	0.051	-0.09	
Total	-	1	0.654*	0.9*	0.887*	-0.852*	
Sensorimotor	-	-	1	0.411*	0.436*	0.534*	
Memory	-	-	-	1	0.767*	0.697*	
Language and communication	-	-	-	-	1	0.755*	
HLCIF	-	-	-	-	-	1	

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

^a Square-root transformed

^b Reflected and log₁₀ transformed

HLCIF—higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions; PAOFI—Patient's Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory

and higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions subscale scores. The scores are the sums of items on which participants rate the frequency of cognitive problems on a six-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). The total score ranges from 0 to 160, with higher scores indicating poorer perceived cognitive functioning. In women with breast cancer, the construct validity and reliability are supported (Bell et al., 2013).

Potential covariates included demographic information (age [years], education [years]), body mass index (kg/m2), and disease and treatment information (cancer stage [ductal carcinoma in situ, I, IIa, IIb, or III], endocrine therapy [yes or no], chemotherapy [yes or no]). Patients with sufficient variability were adjusted in the analysis.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 28.0. Data were first screened for abnormalities (e.g., missing values, outliers). To describe the characteristics of the sample, descriptive analysis was conducted. Underlying statistical assumptions were checked with scatterplots and residual analysis. For Pearson correlation and linear regression analyses, DNA methylation M values that were not normally distributed were reflected and log10 transformed. The processing speed score was reflected and square-root transformed to accommodate the violation of normality. To explore the associations of processing speed and perceived cognitive function, and to identify potential covariates and significantly associated CpG sites with processing speed and perceived cognitive function, Pearson correlation analyses were conducted. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed). Among the significantly associated CpG sites within each gene, multicollinearity was assessed with the variance inflation factor. To investigate the associations between DNA methylation of identified CpG sites as the predictor variable and processing speed and perceived cognitive function as the outcome variables, multiple linear regression was performed with adjustment for covariates, including age, years of education, cancer stage, and body mass index. To summarize the association between the outcome and the predictor, standardized coefficients (β) were reported.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 153 participants in the parent study, 51 (33%) lacked DNA methylation data and were not included in this study. The characteristics of included and not included participants are summarized and reported in Table 1. The included participants (N = 102) were aged an average of 62.7 (SD = 7.99) years, and most were White (n = 90, 88%), diagnosed with stage I breast cancer (n = 64, 63%), and prescribed endocrine therapy (n = 96, 94%) but not chemotherapy (n = 84, 82%). They were highly educated, with a mean education of 16.3 (SD = 2.46) years, and had an average body mass index of 31.1 kg/m2 (SD = 6.53). Participants' mean PAOFI total (\overline{X} = 21.1, SD = 17.14) and subscale scores were calculated (sensorimotor: \overline{X} = 2.9, SD = 3.15; memory: \overline{X} = 8.6, SD = 6.36; language and communication: $\overline{X} = 5.8$, SD = 5.37; and higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions: \overline{X} = 3.8, SD = 4.58). Except for processing speed, which was higher (p = 0.029) in the included participants (\overline{X} = 0.1, SD = 0.71), no other significant differences were detected between included and not included participants. Processing speed was not associated with the PAOFI total or subscale scores (see Table 2).

DNA Methylation Patterns, Processing Speed, and Perceived Cognitive Function Associations

The M values of CpG sites in the BDNF and RASA2 genes and their associations with processing speed and PAOFI scores are reported online in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. Except for cg10635145 of BDNF (\overline{X} = 0.04, SD = 0.51, p = 0.477) and cg15870109 of RASA2 $(\bar{X} = -0.01, SD = 0.18, p = 0.51)$, the mean M values of all CpG sites were different from zero, indicating that they were hypomethylated or hypermethylated.

The overall M value of BDNF was not associated with processing speed (r = 0.027, p = 0.784) or with the PAOFI total (r = 0.139, p = 0.16) and subscale scores (sensorimotor: r = 0.062, p = 0.539; memory: r = 0.13, p = 0.194; language and communication: r = 0.11, p = 0.271; higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions: r = 0.13, p = 0.192). Of 88 CpG sites of the BDNF gene, only cg21291635 was positively associated with processing speed (r = 0.251, p = 0.011), indicating that greater methylation of cg21291635 was associated with poorer processing speed. Cg20108357 (r = -0.356, p < 0.001) showed the largest association with the PAOFI total score, indicating that greater methylation of cg20108357 was associated with better perceived cognitive function.

The overall M value of the RASA2 gene was not associated with processing speed (r = -0.031, p = 0.756) or PAOFI total (r = -0.057, p = 0.568) or subscale scores (sensorimotor: r = -0.161, p = 0.106; memory: r =-0.049, p = 0.623; language and communication: r = 0.019, p = 0.854; higher-level cognitive and intellectual

TABLE 3. Associations of M Values of Identified CpG Sites in BDNF and RASA2 Genes With Processing Speed and PAOFI Total and Subscale Scores (N = 102) 95% CI β \mathbb{R}^2 **CpG Site** Adjusted R² p Processing speed^a **BDNF** 0.349 0.234* 0.01 0.262 0.224 cg21291635 [0.086, 0.612] RASA2 0.22 0.408 0.223* 0.013 0.259 cg20247102 [0.088, 0.728]PAOFI^b total **BDNF** < 0.001 cg20108357 -1.246[-1.954, -0.539]-0.328** 0.171 0.128 cg06260077 1.063 [0.101, 2.026]0.213* 0.031 0.11 0.064 cg23619332 0.932 0.215* 0.03 0.111 0.064 [0.094, 1.77] 0.672 [-0.061, 1.404]0.182 0.072 0.097 0.05 cg03984780 cg15710245 1.266 [0.094, 2.438] 0.207* 0.035 0.108 0.062 cg25412831 1.251 [0.274, 2.228]0.245* 0.013 0.124 0.079 0.058 cg22288103 0.845 [0.027, 1.662] 0.199*0.043 0.105 RASA2 cg04444195 0.95 [-0.439, 2.339]0.143 0.178 0.083 0.035 cg00567892 -1.774[-3.247, -0.3]-0.23*0.019 0.118 0.072 cg25221719 -1.207[-2.929, 0.515]-0.1420.167 0.084 0.036 PAOFI^b subscale: higher-level cognitive and intellectual functions **BDNF** cg20108357 -0.687[-1.184, -0.191]-0.258** 0.007 0.173 0.13 cg23619332 0.638 [0.062, 1.213] 0.209* 0.03 0.151 0.107 0.014 0.163 0.119 cg03984780 0.623 [0.127, 1.119]0.241* cg00298481 0.789 [0.025, 1.554] 0.198*0.043 0.146 0.101 RASA2 cg00567892 0.03 0.152 0.107 -1.13[-2.146, -0.114]-0.208*PAOFI^b subscale: language and communication **BDNF** cg20108357 -0.687 [-1.165, -0.209]-0.276** 0.005 0.118 0.072 0.027 cg03984780 0.446 [-0.039, 0.932]0.185 0.071 0.075 0.031 cg15462887 0.579 [-0.014, 1.173]0.171 0.056 0.079 cg25156688 0.634 [0.145, 1.123] 0.255* 0.012 0.105 0.058 RASA2 cg15870109 1.296 [-0.075, 2.666]0.187 0.064 0.077 0.029 PAOFI^b subscale: memory **BDNF** cg20108357 -0.833 [-1.286, -0.381]-0.343** < 0.001 0.174 0.131 cg06260077 0.776 [0.162, 1.39]0.243* 0.014 0.117 0.071 0.972 0.297** 0.002 0.145 cg25412831 [0.354, 1.59]0.101 cg06991510 -0.819 [-1.567, -0.07]-0.211* 0.032 0.103 0.056

Continued on the next page

TABLE 3. Associations of M Values of Identified CpG Sites in BDNF and RASA2 Genes With Processing Speed and PAOFI Total and Subscale Scores (N = 102) (Continued)

CpG Site	В	95% CI	β	р	R ²	Adjusted R ²	
PAOFI ^b subscale: memory (continued)							
BDNF (continued)							
cg15462887 cg06046431	0.596 0.799	[0.022, 1.17] [-0.114, 1.711]	0.201* 0.178	0.042 0.086	0.099 0.087	0.052 0.04	
RASA2							
cg00567892	-1.048	[-1.999, -0.096]	-0.212*	0.031	0.103	0.057	
PAOFI ^b subscale: sensorimotor							
BDNF							
cg20108357 cg23143371	-0.417 -0.747	[-0.828, -0.006] [-1.355, -0.139]	-0.202* -0.242*	0.047 0.017	0.057 0.075	0.008 0.026	
RASA2							
cg04444195 cg00567892 cg21492365	0.786 -0.853 -0.87	[0.019, 1.553] [-1.682, -0.023] [-1.708, -0.033]	0.217* -0.203* -0.207*	0.045 0.044 0.042	0.058 0.058 0.059	0.009 0.009 0.01	
cg12254248	-0.876	[-1.613, -0.138]	-0.235*	0.02	0.071	0.023	

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed); ** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

B—unstandardized coefficient; BDNF—brain-derived neurotrophic factor; CI-confidence interval; CpG-cytosine-phosphate-guanine; PAOFI-Patient's Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory; RASA2—RAS p21 protein activator 2

Note. Transformed variables were used for regression analysis.

Note. Adjusted for age, years of education, cancer stage, and body mass index

functions: r = -0.004, p = 0.968). Of the 37 CpG sites in the RASA2 gene, only cg20247102 was positively associated with processing speed (r = 0.23, p = 0.02), indicating that greater methylation of cg20247102 was associated with poorer processing speed. Cgoo567892 was negatively associated with all PAOFI scores except for the language and communication domain, indicating that greater methylation of cgoo567892 was associated with better perceived cognitive function except in the language and communication subscale. Exploration of the DNA methylation levels of the identified CpG sites in the BDNF and RASA2 genes for processing speed and PAOFI revealed no notable intraindividual multicollinearity.

CpG Site-Specific Regression Analysis

Multiple linear regression analysis for the associations of M values of the identified CpG sites in each gene with processing speed and PAOFI scores was performed, adjusting for age, years of education, cancer stage, and body mass index. The standardized regression coefficients (β) and variance explained (R2) can

be found in Table 3. For processing speed, significant associations were found with M values of cg21291635 in the BDNF gene (β = 0.234, p = 0.01, adjusted R² = 0.224) and of cg20247102 in the RASA2 gene (β = 0.223, p = 0.013, adjusted R^2 = 0.22) in the full regression model controlling for covariates. Among the identified CpG sites in the BDNF gene, M values of cg20108357 remained significantly and negatively associated with the PAOFI total and all subscale scores with moderate to large effect sizes after covariate adjustment. Among the identified CpG sites in the RASA2 gene, M values of cgoo567892 remained significantly and negatively associated with the PAOFI total and all subscale scores except for the language and communication subscale score with moderate effect sizes after covariate adjustment. The full regression model explained a maximum of 12.8% of the variance in predicting the PAOFI total score and subscale scores.

Discussion

These findings suggest that some CpG sites for the BDNF and RASA2 genes were associated with cognitive

^a Composite score; reflected and square-root transformed

^bSquare-root transformed

function, but the direction of their association varied in postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer who were prescribed endocrine therapy. Although the levels of serum BDNF and RAS proteins have been associated with cognitive function (Cesarini et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2019), these findings further contribute to knowledge of its mechanism by demonstrating associations of DNA methylation patterns of the BDNF and RASA2 genes with processing speed and perceived cognitive function. This exploratory study is one of the first to explore the DNA methylation of individual CpG sites of the two genes in the breast cancer context. This study suggests that different mechanisms may exist for processing speed and perceived cognitive function, potentially because of the expression of methylated CpG sites in different brain regions depending on the specific domains of cognitive function. In addition, different demographic, socioeconomic, or psychological confounding factors may influence the relationships of DNA methylation patterns with processing speed and perceived cognitive function.

BDNF is involved in neural cell creation, protection, and regulation, as well as synaptic plasticity (Erickson et al., 2010), and a higher plasma BDNF level was associated with better cognitive function (Komulainen et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2014), implicating its protective effects against cognitive decline. The current study indicates that greater methylation at cg21291635, which is potentially associated with lower BDNF expression, may correlate with poorer processing speed. This finding aligns with previous studies in patients with major depressive disorder (Ferrer et al., 2019), but this is the first it has been reported in a cancer context. Conversely, these findings linking greater methylation at cg20108357, which is possibly associated with lower BDNF expression, to better perceived cognitive function have not been reported in any population and require further exploration to provide broader insights into their effects.

RASA2 is involved in regulating cellular signaling, encompassing cell growth, migration, adhesion, structural maintenance, survival, and differentiation, through the RAS proteins (Rajalingam et al., 2007). RAS proteins are small guanosine triphosphatases that act as molecular switches, toggling between an active guanosine triphosphate-bound state and an inactive guanosine diphosphate-bound state, which triggers a series of intracellular events (Carnevale et al., 2022). The activation of RAS signaling is strictly regulated, and the RASA2 protein, a RAS guanosine triphosphate phosphatase-activating protein, is an inhibitory factor

of RAS signaling (Rajalingam et al., 2007). Alteration of RASA2 gene expression in the brain could influence cognition and the normal function of the RASA2 gene. Greater methylation of cg20247102, likely associated with lower RASA2 expression, may correlate with poorer processing speed; conversely, the more cgoo567892 is methylated, likely associated with lower RASA2 expression, the better the perceived cognitive function except for language and communication. These findings highlight the potential role of DNA methylation of the RASA2 gene on cognitive function, an unexplored aspect of the gene in any other population, including patients with cancer.

Gene expression differs depending on where methylation occurs within the gene. Increased gene expression has been related to hypomethylation in promoter areas and hypermethylation in gene-body regions (Ball et al., 2009). Although the intron in the gene body is not directly involved in the synthesis of proteins, the methylation level of introns could affect gene expression. Hypomethylation of introns could enhance gene expression by stimulating alternative promoters to transcribe and reveal muted regulatory elements that may be easily detected (Lakshminarasimhan & Liang, 2016). In human tissues, hypermethylation of the first intron was related to a decrease in gene expression, likely because of its unique properties, such as closer distance to the transcription start site and enriched active chromatin marks (Anastasiadi et al., 2018).

Because BDNF protects against cognitive decline (Komulainen et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2014) and RASA2 depletion may worsen it (Knowles et al., 2019), greater expression with hypomethylation of both genes may benefit cognitive function. Support for this relationship was found with lower methylation levels' correlation with better processing speed; however, additional interpretation is limited because of the lack of data for protein levels. The relationship was not found for self-reported cognitive functions, indicating that DNA methylation patterns may influence objectively measured and self-reported cognitive functions through likely distinct epigenetic mechanisms. This exploratory study highlights the role of epigenetic markers in understanding cognitive function, particularly in patients with cancer.

These findings bring new insight into the intricate associations between DNA methylation and cognitive function, which should be interpreted by taking potential confounding factors into account to discuss broader implications. These implications include the influence of cancer and cancer treatments, the inherent complexity and temporal variabilities of methylation processes, and psychosocial factors such as fatigue, anxiety, and depression. In addition, the distinct constructs of perceived and objectively measured cognitive functions (Middleton et al., 2006) add another layer of complexity, potentially influencing the observed associations.

Cancer and its treatments may accelerate the normal aging process by altering DNA methylation patterns (Mandelblatt et al., 2013). Because DNA methylation is dynamic and can influence cognition or be influenced by the decline of cerebral function (Treble-Barna et al., 2023), additional research is required to determine the predictive role of DNA methylation on cognition. In addition, according to Middleton et al. (2006), perceived cognitive function is influenced more by emotional states including depression, anxiety, and fatigue (Middleton et al., 2006), whereas emotional states' effects on objective cognition are relatively lower or nonsignificant. The emotional states as predictors of perceived cognition have been consistently reported among patients with cancer (Almeria et al., 2020; Lycke et al., 2017; Srisurapanont et al., 2017). Age, education (Srisurapanont et al., 2017), lifetime cognitive activity, and physical activity (Wirth et al., 2014) were reported as predictors of objective cognition. DNA methylation patterns may influence cognition, but cancer, cancer treatments, emotional states, age, education, and lifestyle factors may moderate the influence. Because of the absence of universally accepted, clinically meaningful criteria for the extent of DNA methylation and cognitive change, this study's findings are inconclusive but suggest that the DNA methylation pattern is promising as a clinically predictive and prognostic biomarker for CRCD.

Limitations

This exploratory study has several limitations. First, the sample size for this analysis was limited to data that were available from the parent study, thus limiting the generalizability of the findings. Second, because of the cross-sectional design, it is not possible to draw causal inferences about the relationships between DNA methylation and cognitive function. Future studies with longitudinal designs are required to inform the detailed description of the relationship over time. Third, because this was an exploratory study with a hypothesis-generating purpose, no correction for multiple testing was performed, which could potentially inflate the risk of type I error. Additional studies with larger sample sizes are required to validate these findings

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- Cytosine-phosphate-guanine sites associated with objectively measured and self-reported cognitive function and the directions of association varied, potentially indicating two distinct epigenetic mechanisms.
- Within each gene, different methylation patterns of cytosinephosphate-guanine sites were associated with different domains of perceived cognitive function.
- DNA methylation of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor and RAS p21 protein activator 2 genes may take potential roles in cognitive function in a cancer context.

and assess their reproducibility. In addition, one-third of the participants recruited for the parent study were not eligible, which could contribute to selection bias or limit the generalizability of these findings.

Implications for Nursing

Understanding epigenetic mechanisms is crucial for oncology nurses because it directly affects their ability to manage and anticipate cognitive decline in patients. This knowledge not only aids in the early detection of patients at risk for CRCD but also enhances the development of personalized nursing interventions. As genomic data and biospecimens become increasingly accessible, it is important for nursing practices to incorporate this information to address specific symptoms associated with cancer treatments. This study highlights how epigenetic modifications, particularly DNA methylation, can influence cognitive function. Although not all methylation changes are reversible, nurses can advocate for and implement lifestyle interventions such as dietary improvements and exercise. These interventions may modify the epigenetic changes associated with alterations in these markers. Such proactive strategies are vital in managing CRCD, providing a strong foundation for nurses to apply this evolving science in everyday clinical settings and ultimately improving patient care outcomes.

Conclusion

To the authors' knowledge, this exploratory, hypothesis-generating study is among the first to explore the associations among DNA methylation of the BDNF and RASA2 genes, processing speed, and perceived cognitive function in postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer who have been prescribed endocrine therapy. These findings underscore the variability in the associations between DNA methylation and cognitive function and illuminate the possibility of distinct epigenetic mechanisms of processing speed and perceived cognitive function. They provide initial evidence linking these DNA methylation patterns to cognitive function. Additional longitudinal research with larger and more diverse samples is required to understand these associations and to identify individuals at higher risk for cognitive decline, guiding precision symptom management. In addition, given the complex and multidimensional nature of cognitive function and its various phenotypic manifestations, more comprehensive and targeted research is required to better understand the complex associations between DNA methylation and cognitive functions. These preliminary findings suggest that this strategy might identify patients at risk for cognitive decline in earlier stages and guide the development of targeted nursing interventions for CRCD.

Myeong-ga Cho, MSN, RN, is a doctoral student, Susan M. Sereika, PhD, is a professor and associate dean for research and education support services, and Meredith H. Cummings, BSN, RN, OCN®, is a doctoral student, all in the School of Nursing at the University of Pittsburgh in Pennsylvania; Kirk I. Erickson, PhD, is the director of translational neuroscience at AdventHealth Research Institute in Orlando, FL; and Catherine M. Bender, PhD, RN, FAAN, is a professor in the Department of Health and Community Services and Yvette P. Conley, FAAN, PhD, is a professor and the associate dean for research and scholarship in the School of Nursing, both at the University of Pittsburgh. Cho can be reached at myc23@pitt.edu, with copy to ONFEditor@ons.org. (Submitted January 2024. Accepted May 7, 2024.)

The authors gratefully acknowledge all participants of the Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition Study.

This research was funded, in part, by National Cancer Institute grants (R01CA196762 and R01CA221882) and a Janice Scully Dorman Endowed Omics Research Award. Sereika received research funding from Genentech.

Cho, Sereika, Erickson, Bender, and Conley contributed to the conceptualization and design. Cho, Bender, and Conley completed the data collection. Cho and Sereika provided statistical support. Cho, Sereika, and Conley provided the analysis. All authors contributed to the manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

Almeria, M., Cejudo, J.C., Sotoca, J., Deus, J., & Krupinski, J. (2020). Cognitive profile following COVID-19 infection:

- Clinical predictors leading to neuropsychological impairment. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity—Health, 9, 100163. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100163
- American Cancer Society. (2023). Cancer facts and figures, 2023. https://www.cancer.org/research/cancer-facts-statistics/all -cancer-facts-figures/2023-cancer-facts-figures.html
- Anastasiadi, D., Esteve-Codina, A., & Piferrer, F. (2018). Consistent inverse correlation between DNA methylation of the first intron and gene expression across tissues and species. Epigenetics and Chromatin, 11(1), 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13072-018-0205-1
- Ball, M.P., Li, J.B., Gao, Y., Lee, J.-H., LeProust, E.M., Park, I.-H., ... Church, G.M. (2009). Targeted and genome-scale strategies reveal gene-body methylation signatures in human cells. Nature Biotechnology, 27(4), 361-368. https://doi.org/10.1038/
- Bell, M.J., Terhorst, L., & Bender, C.M. (2013). Psychometric analysis of the patient assessment of own functioning inventory in women with breast cancer. Journal of Nursing Measurement, 21(2), 320-334. https://doi.org/10.1891/1061-3749 .21.2.320
- Bender, C.M., Merriman, J.D., Gentry, A.L., Ahrendt, G.M., Berga, S.L., Brufsky, A.M., . . . Sereika, S.M. (2015). Patterns of change in cognitive function with anastrozole therapy. Cancer, 121(15), 2627-2636. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29393
- Bender, C.M., Sereika, S.M., Gentry, A.L., Cuglewski, C., Duquette, J., Grove, G., . . . Erickson, K. (2024). Effects of aerobic exercise on neurocognitive function in postmenopausal women receiving endocrine therapy for breast cancer: The exercise program in cancer and cognition randomized controlled trial. Psycho-Oncology, 33(2), e6298. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.6298
- Bernstein, L.J., McCreath, G.A., Komeylian, Z., & Rich, J.B. (2017). Cognitive impairment in breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy depends on control group type and cognitive domains assessed: A multilevel meta-analysis. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 83, 417-428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neu
- Borch, K.B., Braaten, T., Lund, E., & Weiderpass, E. (2015). Physical activity before and after breast cancer diagnosis and survival-The Norwegian women and cancer cohort study. BMC Cancer, 15, 967. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1971-9
- Bray, V.J., Dhillon, H.M., & Vardy, J.L. (2018). Systematic review of self-reported cognitive function in cancer patients following chemotherapy treatment. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 12(4), 537-559. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-018-0692-x
- Burstein, H.J., Lacchetti, C., Anderson, H., Buchholz, T.A., Davidson, N.E., Gelmon, K.A., . . . Griggs, J.J. (2019). Adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptorpositive breast cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline focused update. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 37(5), 423-438. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.18.01160
- Campbell, K.L., Kam, J.W.Y., Neil-Sztramko, S.E., Liu Ambrose, T.,

- Handy, T.C., Lim, H.J., . . . Boyd, L.A. (2018). Effect of aerobic exercise on cancer-associated cognitive impairment: A proofof-concept RCT. Psycho-Oncology, 27(1), 53-60. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/pon.4370
- Carnevale, J., Shifrut, E., Kale, N., Nyberg, W.A., Blaeschke, F., Chen, Y.Y., . . . Marson, A. (2022). RASA2 ablation in T cells boosts antigen sensitivity and long-term function. Nature, 609(7925), 174-182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05126-w
- Cerulla Torrente, N., Navarro Pastor, J.-B., & de la Osa Chaparro, N. (2020). Systematic review of cognitive sequelae of non-central nervous system cancer and cancer therapy. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 14(4), 464-482. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11764-020-00870-2
- Cesarini, L., Alfieri, P., Pantaleoni, F., Vasta, I., Cerutti, M., Petrangeli, V., ... Zampino, G. (2009). Cognitive profile of disorders associated with dysregulation of the RAS/MAPK signaling cascade. American Journal of Medical Genetics, 149A(2), 140-146. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.32488
- Chan, A., Yeo, A., Shwe, M., Tan, C.J., Foo, K.M., Chu, P., . . . Ho, H.K. (2019). An evaluation of DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) single nucleotide polymorphisms and chemotherapy-associated cognitive impairment: A prospective, longitudinal study. Scientific Reports, 9(1), 14570. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-019-51203-y
- Chelune, G.J., Heaton, R.K., & Lehman, R.A.W. (1986). Neuropsychological and personality correlates of patients' complaints of disability. In G. Goldstein & R.E. Tarter (Eds.), Advances in clinical neuropsychology (Vol. 3, pp. 95-126). Springer. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2211-5_4
- Collins, B., Mackenzie, J., Stewart, A., Bielajew, C., & Verma, S. (2009). Cognitive effects of chemotherapy in post-menopausal breast cancer patients 1 year after treatment. Psycho-Oncology, 18(2), 134-143. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1379
- Conole, E.L.S., Stevenson, A.J., Maniega, S.M., Harris, S.E., Green, C., Valdés Hernández, M.D.C., ... Cox, S.R. (2021). DNA methylation and protein markers of chronic inflammation and their associations with brain and cognitive aging. Neurology, 97(23), e2340-e2352. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.000000000012997
- Erickson, K.I., Prakash, R.S., Voss, M.W., Chaddock, L., Heo, S., McLaren, M., . . . Kramer, A.F. (2010). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is associated with age-related decline in hippocampal volume. Journal of Neuroscience, 30(15), 5368-5375. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.6251-09.2010
- Ferrer, A., Labad, J., Salvat-Pujol, N., Barrachina, M., Costas, J., Urretavizcaya, M., ... Soria, V. (2019). BDNF genetic variants and methylation: Effects on cognition in major depressive disorder. Translational Psychiatry, 9(1), 265. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41398-019-0601-8
- Gentry, A.L., Erickson, K.I., Sereika, S.M., Casillo, F.E., Crisafio, M.E., Donahue, P.T., . . . Bender, C.M. (2018). Protocol for Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition (EPICC): A randomized controlled trial of the effects of aerobic exercise

- on cognitive function in postmenopausal women with breast cancer receiving aromatase inhibitor therapy. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 67, 109-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2018
- Gómez-Pinilla, F., Ying, Z., Roy, R.R., Molteni, R., & Edgerton, V.R. (2002). Voluntary exercise induces a BDNF-mediated mechanism that promotes neuroplasticity. Journal of Neurophysiology, 88(5), 2187-2195. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00152.2002
- Goretti, B., Portaccio, E., Zipoli, V., Razzolini, L., & Amato, M.P. (2010). Coping strategies, cognitive impairment, psychological variables and their relationship with quality of life in multiple sclerosis. Neurological Sciences, 31 (Suppl. 2), S227-S230. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10072-010-0372-8
- Guida, J.L., Ahles, T.A., Belsky, D., Campisi, J., Cohen, H.J., DeGregori, J., . . . Hurria, A. (2019). Measuring aging and identifying aging phenotypes in cancer survivors. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 111(12), 1245-1254. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/ diz136
- Hill, N.L., McDermott, C., Mogle, J., Munoz, E., DePasquale, N., Wion, R., & Whitaker, E. (2017). Subjective cognitive impairment and quality of life: A systematic review. International Psychogeriatrics, 29(12), 1965-1977. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s1041610217001636
- Issa, J.-P. (1999). Aging, DNA methylation and cancer. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology, 32(1), 31-43. https://doi.org/10 .1016/s1040-8428(99)00019-0
- Key, T.J., Verkasalo, P.K., & Banks, E. (2001). Epidemiology of breast cancer. Lancet Oncology, 2(3), 133-140. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S1470-2045(00)00254-0
- Knowles, E.E.M., Mathias, S.R., Mollon, J., Rodrigue, A., Koenis, M.M.G., Dyer, T.D., . . . Glahn, D.C. (2019). A QTL on chromosome 3q23 influences processing speed in humans. Genes, Brain, and Behavior, 18(4), e12530. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12530
- Komulainen, P., Pedersen, M., Hänninen, T., Bruunsgaard, H., Lakka, T.A., Kivipelto, M., ... Rauramaa, R. (2008). BDNF is a novel marker of cognitive function in ageing women: The DR's EXTRA study. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, 90(4), 596-603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2008.07.014
- Kraft, J.N., & Woods, A.J. (2021). Speed of processing. In D. Gu & M.E. Dupre (Eds.), Encyclopedia of gerontology and population aging (pp. 4734-4738). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0 30-22009-9 722
- Lakshminarasimhan, R., & Liang, G. (2016). The role of DNA methylation in cancer. In A. Jeltsch & R.Z. Jurkowska (Eds.), DNA methyltransferases—Role and function: Advances in experimental medicine and biology (Vol. 945, pp. 151–172). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43624-1_7
- Lange, M., Heutte, N., Rigal, O., Noal, S., Kurtz, J.-E., Lévy, C., . . . Joly, F. (2016). Decline in cognitive function in older adults with early-stage breast cancer after adjuvant treatment. Oncologist, 21(11), 1337-1348. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0014 Lao, C., Elwood, M., Kuper-Hommel, M., Campbell, I., &

- Lawrenson, R. (2021). Impact of menopausal status on risk of metastatic recurrence of breast cancer. Menopause, 28(10), 1085-1092. https://doi.org/10.1097/gme.00000000001817
- Lewis, R.F., & Rennick, P.M. (1979). Manual for the repeatable cognitive-perceptual-motor battery. Axon.
- Lezak, M.D., Howieson, D.B., Bigler, E.D., & Tranel, D. (2012). Neuropsychological assessment (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Lu, B., Nagappan, G., & Lu, Y. (2014). BDNF and synaptic plasticity, cognitive function, and dysfunction. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, 220, 223-250. https://doi.org/10 .1007/978-3-642-45106-5_9
- Lycke, M., Pottel, L., Pottel, H., Ketelaars, L., Stellamans, K., Van Eygen, K., . . . Debruyne, P.R. (2017). Predictors of baseline cancer-related cognitive impairment in cancer patients scheduled for a curative treatment. Psycho-Oncology, 26(5), 632-639. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4200
- Mandelblatt, J.S., Ahles, T.A., Lippman, M.E., Isaacs, C., Adams-Campbell, L., Saykin, A.J., . . . Carroll, J. (2021). Applying a life course biological age framework to improving the care of individuals with adult cancers: Review and research recommendations. JAMA Oncology, 7(11), 1692–1699. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.1160
- Mandelblatt, J.S., Hurria, A., McDonald, B.C., Saykin, A.J., Stern, R.A., VanMeter, J.W., . . . Ahles, T. (2013). Cognitive effects of cancer and its treatments at the intersection of aging: What do we know; what do we need to know? Seminars in Oncology, 40(6), 709-725. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2013.09.006
- Martinowich, K., Hattori, D., Wu, H., Fouse, S., He, F., Hu, Y., . . . Sun, Y.E. (2003). DNA methylation-related chromatin remodeling in activity-dependent BDNF gene regulation. Science, 302(5646), 890-893. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090842
- Middleton, L.S., Denney, D.R., Lynch, S.G., & Parmenter, B. (2006). The relationship between perceived and objective cognitive functioning in multiple sclerosis. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 21(5), 487-494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acn 2006 06 008
- Mitchell, A.J., Kemp, S., Benito-León, J., & Reuber, M. (2010). The influence of cognitive impairment on health-related quality of life in neurological disease. Acta Neuropsychiatrica, 22(1), 2-13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5215.2009.00439.x
- Munir, F., Burrows, J., Yarker, J., Kalawsky, K., & Bains, M. (2010). Women's perceptions of chemotherapy-induced cognitive side

- affects on work ability: A focus group study. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 19(9-10), 1362-1370.
- Pendergrass, J.C., Targum, S.D., & Harrison, J.E. (2018). Cognitive impairment associated with cancer: A brief review. Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience, 15(1-2), 36-44.
- Rajalingam, K., Schreck, R., Rapp, U.R., & Albert, Š. (2007). Ras oncogenes and their downstream targets. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1773(8), 1177-1195.
- Srisurapanont, M., Suttajit, S., Eurviriyanukul, K., & Varnado, P. (2017). Discrepancy between objective and subjective cognition in adults with major depressive disorder. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 3901. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04353-w
- Szuhany, K.L., Bugatti, M., & Otto, M.W. (2015). A meta-analytic review of the effects of exercise on brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 60, 56-64. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.10.003
- Treble-Barna, A., Heinsberg, L.W., Stec, Z., Breazeale, S., Davis, T.S., Kesbhat, A.A., . . . Conley, Y.P. (2023). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) epigenomic modifications and brain-related phenotypes in humans: A systematic review. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 147, 105078. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105078
- Von Ah, D., Habermann, B., Carpenter, J.S., & Schneider, B.L. (2013). Impact of perceived cognitive impairment in breast cancer survivors. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 17(2), 236-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2012.06.002
- Walsh, J.J., & Tschakovsky, M.E. (2018). Exercise and circulating BDNF: Mechanisms of release and implications for the design of exercise interventions. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, 43(11), 1095-1104. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm -2018-0192
- Wirth, M., Haase, C.M., Villeneuve, S., Vogel, J., & Jagust, W.J. (2014). Neuroprotective pathways: Lifestyle activity, brain pathology, and cognition in cognitively normal older adults. Neurobiology of Aging, 35(8), 1873-1882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neurobiolaging.2014.02.015
- Yang, G.S., Mi, X., Jackson-Cook, C.K., Starkweather, A.R., Lynch Kelly, D., Archer, K.J., . . . Lyon, D.E. (2020). Differential DNA methylation following chemotherapy for breast cancer is associated with lack of memory improvement at one year. Epigenetics, 15(5), 499-510. https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.20